

# GRAZING LAND AND LIVESTOCK MANAGEMENT GREENHOUSE GAS MITIGATION METHODOLOGY

---

## MODULE NAME:

*ACCOUNTING MODULE FOR EMISSIONS FROM ENTERIC FERMENTATION*

## MODULE CODE:

**A-ENTERIC**

## Output Parameter(s)

**Parameter Name:** **E\_ENT**

**Parameter Description:** Net enteric emissions (t CO<sub>2</sub>e)

## Key Input Data:

BW<sub>m</sub> Body weight of livestock under management m; kg

DEE<sub>x</sub> Dietary ether extract for feed x; %

GEI<sub>x</sub> Gross energy intake for feed x; Mcal/d

NDF<sub>x</sub> Dietary neutral detergent fiber for feed x; %

Prop<sub>x</sub> Proportion of total diet made up of feed x; dimensionless



**Purpose**

To estimate emissions and net emission reductions from enteric fermentation as part of grazing land and livestock management greenhouse gas mitigation activities.

The module is large-scale emissions.

The module estimates both emissions in the baseline case and with project implementation.

**Applicability Conditions**

The module is applicable to all projects implemented for grazing land and livestock management.

Where with-project emissions are significantly elevated (see [T-XANTE](#)) the module shall be used, in all other cases it is optional.

**1.0 Calculation Procedure***1.1 Calculation approach*

The calculation approach is based on empirical equations developed by Professor Ermias Kebreab and others. The equations were developed using animal and feed data from 1963 to 1995. The data were collected in the Beltsville open-circuit respiration chambers at the United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Center, Beltsville, MD.

The baseline shall be dynamic. *Ex ante* an estimate will be made of both baseline and with-project emissions. *Ex post* at the time of reporting, baseline and project emissions shall be calculated based on livestock population, climatic conditions and other variable factors specific to the project and time period.

*1.2 Calculations*

Enteric emissions are derived from data on feed and on the body weight of livestock. Equations are divided into three categories:

- Lactating cows
- Dry cows
- Heifers and steers

Lactating cows:

$$E_{ENT_{LC,m,t}} = 0.3743 + \sum_x (0.0392 * GEI_x * Pr op_x) + \sum_x (0.0189 * NDF_x * Pr op_x) - \sum_x (0.1555 * DEE_x * Pr op_x) + (0.0014 * BW) \quad (1)$$

Dry cows:

$$E_{ENT_{DC,m,t}} = 0.4535 + \sum_x (0.0503 * GEI_x * Pr op_x) - \sum_x (0.0546 * DEE_x * Pr op_x) + (0.0008 * BW) \quad (2)$$

Heifers and steers:

$$E\_ENT_{HS,m,t} = -0.0558 + \sum_x (0.0447 * GEI_x * Prop_x) + \sum_x (0.0039 * NDF_x * Prop_x) - \sum_x (0.0332 * DEE_x * Prop_x) + (0.0014 * BW) \quad (3)$$

Where:

$E\_ENT_{LC,m,t}$	Enteric emissions from lactating cows under management $m$ at time $t$ ; CH <sub>4</sub> GE Mcal/d
$E\_ENT_{DC,m,t}$	Enteric emissions from dry cows under management $m$ at time $t$ ; CH <sub>4</sub> GE Mcal/d
$E\_ENT_{HS,m,t}$	Enteric emissions from heifers and steers under management $m$ at time $t$ ; CH <sub>4</sub> GE Mcal/d
$BW_m$	Body weight of livestock under management $m$ ; kg
$DEE_x$	Dietary ether extract for feed $x$ ; %
$GEI_x$	Gross energy intake for feed $x$ ; Mcal/d
$NDF_x$	Dietary neutral detergent fiber for feed $x$ ; %
$Prop_x$	Proportion of total diet made up of feed $x$ ; dimensionless

Emissions in Mcal/d are converted to t CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent per year:

$$E\_ENT_{LC,t} = \left( \frac{\left( \sum_m (E\_ENT_{LC,m,t} * Num_m) \right) * \#days}{13.29} / 1000 \right) * 21 \quad (4)$$

$$E\_ENT_{DC,t} = \left( \frac{\left( \sum_m (E\_ENT_{DC,m,t} * Num_m) \right) * \#days}{13.29} / 1000 \right) * 21 \quad (5)$$

$$E\_ENT_{HS,t} = \left( \frac{\left( \sum_m (E\_ENT_{HS,m,t} * Num_m) \right) * \#days}{13.29} / 1000 \right) * 21 \quad (6)$$

Where:

$E\_ENT_{LC,t}$	Enteric emissions from lactating cows at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{DC,t}$	Enteric emissions from dry cows at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{HS,t}$	Enteric emissions from heifers and steers at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e

$E\_ENT_{LC,m,t}$	Enteric emissions from lactating cows under management $m$ at time $t$ ; CH <sub>4</sub> GE Mcal/d
$E\_ENT_{DC,m,t}$	Enteric emissions from dry cows under management $m$ at time $t$ ; CH <sub>4</sub> GE Mcal/d
$E\_ENT_{HS,m,t}$	Enteric emissions from heifers and steers under management $m$ at time $t$ ; CH <sub>4</sub> GE Mcal/d
$Num_m$	Number of livestock (by category) under management $m$ ; dimensionless
$\#days$	Number of days since previous verification (or start of project if no verification has occurred to date); dimensionless
13.29	Mcal/kg CH <sub>4</sub>
21	Global warming potential of methane (SAR-100 value in IPCC AR4 2007)

The total enteric emissions are equal to the sum of the three categories:

$$E\_ENT_t = E\_ENT_{LC,t} + E\_ENT_{DC,t} + E\_ENT_{HS,t} \quad (7)$$

Where:

$E\_ENT_t$	Enteric emissions from livestock at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{LC,t}$	Enteric emissions from lactating cows at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e/
$E\_ENT_{DC,t}$	Enteric emissions from dry cows at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{HS,t}$	Enteric emissions from heifers and steers at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e

### 1.3 Baseline

The emission in the baseline case shall be equal to:

$$E\_ENT_{BSL,t} = E\_ENT_t \quad \text{where } t \text{ is year } t \text{ in the baseline case.}$$

### 1.4 With-project

The emission in the project case shall be equal to:

$$E\_ENT_{P,t} = E\_ENT_t \quad \text{where } t \text{ is year } t \text{ in the project case.}$$

### 1.5 Summation

Total net emission reduction (or increase) from changes in practices impacting enteric emissions will be equal to baseline minus the project:

$$E\_ENT_{prelim} = E\_ENT_{BSL,t} - E\_ENT_{P,t} \quad (8)$$

Where:

$E\_ENT_{prelim}$	Net enteric emissions prior to uncertainty deductions; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{BSL,t}$	Enteric emissions from livestock in the baseline case at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{P,t}$	Enteric emissions from livestock in the project case at time $t$ ; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e

### 1.6 Uncertainty

Uncertainty shall be quantified by means of a Monte Carlo statistical analysis. The analysis shall combine uncertainties across each of the categories, and between baseline and project scenarios. The output ( $E\_ENT_{ERROR}$ ) shall be the half width of the ultimate calculated 90% confidence interval divided by estimated net enteric emissions.

#### 1.6.1 Uncertainty Deduction

If  $E\_ENT_{ERROR} \leq 10\%$  of  $E\_ENT_{prelim}$  then no deduction for uncertainty is required ( $E\_ENT_{prelim} = E\_ENT$ ).

If  $E\_ENT_{ERROR} > 10\%$  of  $E\_ENT_{prelim}$  then the modified value for  $E\_ENT$  to account for uncertainty shall be:

$$E\_ENT = E\_ENT_{prelim} - (E\_ENT_{prelim} * (E\_ENT_{ERROR} - 10\%)) \tag{9}$$

Where:

$E\_ENT$	Net enteric emissions; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{prelim}$	Net enteric emissions prior to uncertainty deductions; t CO <sub>2</sub> -e
$E\_ENT_{ERROR}$	Total uncertainty for enteric emissions; %

## 2.0 Input Data Sources and Requirements

<b>Parameter</b>	$BW_m$
<b>Units</b>	<b>Kg</b>
<b>Description</b>	Body weight of animal under management $m$
<b>Relevant Section</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Relevant Equation(s)</b>	<b>1, 2, 3</b>
<b>Source of Data</b>	Direct measurement of mean animal weight
<b>Data Requirements</b>	
<b>Collection Procedure</b>	Dairy: once a year Beef: together with vaccination, or any other activity in the chute
<b>Revision Frequency</b>	At each verification
<b>Comments</b>	Where body weight is recorded in pounds multiply by 0.4536 to convert to kg

<b>Parameter</b>	$DEE_x$
<b>Units</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Description</b>	% ether extract for each feed $x$ in diet
<b>Relevant Section</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Relevant Equation(s)</b>	<b>1, 2, 3</b>
<b>Source of Data</b>	A sample of each feed $x$ will be sent to a laboratory for analysis. Wet chemistry shall be used.
<b>Data Requirements</b>	
<b>Collection Procedure</b>	For grains and hays: where the ration changes run a sample. For diets >50% hay samples

ACR Grazing Land and Livestock Management Methodology

	must be run each season. For pasture: any time that there is a possibility of change in the quality of the pasture, this could be due to management or environment
<b>Revision Frequency</b>	At each verification
<b>Comments</b>	

<b>Parameter</b>	<b><math>GEI_x</math></b>
<b>Units</b>	<b>Mcal/d</b>
<b>Description</b>	Gross energy intake for each feed ( $x$ ) in diet
<b>Relevant Section</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Relevant Equation(s)</b>	<b>1, 2, 3</b>
<b>Source of Data</b>	The total gross energy will be calculated by adding the GEI from each feed. The GE from each feed will be determined with Bomb Calorimetry in a laboratory
<b>Data Requirements</b>	
<b>Collection Procedure</b>	
<b>Revision Frequency</b>	With each new feed or for pasture at least every two months
<b>Comments</b>	

<b>Parameter</b>	<b><math>NDF_x</math></b>
<b>Units</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Description</b>	% of neutral detergent fiber for each feed ( $x$ ) in diet
<b>Relevant Section</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Relevant Equation(s)</b>	<b>1, 2, 3</b>
<b>Source of Data</b>	A sample of each feed $x$ will be sent to a laboratory for analysis. Wet chemistry shall be used.
<b>Data Requirements</b>	
<b>Collection Procedure</b>	For grains: run one sample a year if the same source. For hays, run a sample per season if the same source. For pasture: any time that there is a possibility of change in the quality of the pasture (this could be due to management or environment)  Peer-reviewed literature values may be used where direct applicability can be demonstrated
<b>Revision Frequency</b>	At each verification
<b>Comments</b>	

<b>Parameter</b>	<b><math>Prop_x</math></b>
<b>Units</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Description</b>	Proportion of feed $x$ in diet
<b>Relevant Section</b>	<b>1.2</b>
<b>Relevant Equation(s)</b>	<b>1, 2, 3</b>
<b>Source of Data</b>	Producer or any consultant involved with diet formulation shall record and report the proportion of each feed $x$ in diet
<b>Data Requirements</b>	
<b>Collection Procedure</b>	
<b>Revision Frequency</b>	At each verification
<b>Comments</b>	For the given reporting period $Prop_x$ should represent the proportion of total feed. Thus this will include both multiple feed types at any specific point in time as well as variation over time. Where feed is grazing proportion shall reflect any change in quality see DEE and NDF.